



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/808,827	02/28/1997	WALTER HENRY GUNZBURG	1406/194	6837
7590 03/03/2008				
Mr. Arles A. Taylor, Jr. Jenkins, Wilson & Taylor, P.A. 3100 Tower Boulevard University Tower, Suite 1400 Durham, NC 27707			EXAMINER BRUSCA, JOHN S	
			ART UNIT 1631	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 03/03/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

08/808,827

**Applicant(s)**

GUNZBURG ET AL.

**Examiner**

John S. Brusca

**Art Unit**

1631

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John S. Brusca.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Arles Taylor.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicants were informed that in response to the amendment filed 10 December 2007 and upon further consideration, new grounds of rejection under obviousness-type double patenting will be raised over dependent claims not previously rejected in the prior Office action mailed 26 September 2007.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/John S. Brusca/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required